A New Dataset for Tonal Segmental Dialectometry

From the Yue- and Pinghua-Speaking Area

Matthew Sung, Jelena Prokic & Yiya Chen| 6th SIGTYP Workshop (Malta)



Motivation

Traditional Dialectology

- Originated in Europe
- Predominantly been focusing on sounds (segments)

Motivation

Traditional Dialectology

- Originated in Europe
- Predominantly been focusing on sounds (segments)

Dialectometry/ Quantitative Dialectology

- Similar to traditional dialectology
- Mostly on European languages, but have been expanding beyond Europe recently

Motivation

Traditional Dialectology

- Originated in Europe
- Predominantly been focusing on sounds (segments)

Dialectometry/ Quantitative Dialectology

- Similar to traditional dialectology
- Mostly on European languages, but have been expanding beyond Europe recently
 Tone languages
- More than half of the world's languages are tonal (Yip 2002)
- Not many datasets with more than 20 dialects (of the same language) are publically available which contains both tones and segments

New Dataset!

Sinitic languages

- Yue and Pinghua
- Spoken in Southern China
- Tone languages

New Dataset!

Sinitic languages

- Yue and Pinghua
- Spoken in Southern China
- Tone languages

It consists of:

- 104 varieties
- 130 monosyllabic words
 - E.g. Body parts, numbers, animals, geographical features etc.
- Both segments and tones

Data sources

Dialect Surveys and Homonymic Syllabaries

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
*	3	拖	他	駝	馱	舵	大	馱	挪	*#5
(* / * /	-				林起来			秋子		
*	果開ー	釆開-	果開-	末開ー	米閣一	末開-	米開-	米開一	果開一平	果開一上
*B	十款编	十款道	十秋道	干秋天	斗歌足	上研究	去圍足	去國定	款兑(铢)	哥光峰
北京	tuo	tuo	tass	tuoss	tuos	tuon	tas	tuos	huo	na**
廣州(市區)	t3 *5	t3"	taz	to	t5-	t5"	tai	t'322	no ¹¹	nais
香港(市區)	t355	t'3"	tas	t'ɔ"	ť34	ton	tai	t5"	ກວະ	nan
香港(新昇綿田)	tor	to»	t'A*	to	ton	to	tAi **		noai	nA*
務門(市區)	to**	t'255	tass	t'321	t's"	t'5"	tar	ton	10,51	1013
書 禺 (市橋)	to**	t'555	ta*3	t'5"	t'3*1	t531	tai "		1231	1213
花縣(花山)	t253	t'553	t'a 53	t'5"	t'512	ťɔ¤	tai"	t51ª	na	na ³⁵
從 化 (槟 內)	t J23	toが	ta*	ť3**	t'522	tʻɔ²²	tai ²¹		n>**	1039
增拔(祭城)	to*	t'5 [#]	tass	tó	ť>"	ťó"	tai23	t'222	no"	na"
静山(市業)	to**	t'osa	tà»	t5#	t's#	t'5#	tar**		no**	naıs
甫 海 (沙 環)	t 5*5	t'5*	t'a*	t'544	t54	ť5#	ta"		No#	na®
順 徳 (大良)	t>**	t'3 53	ta 55	t's**	t's**	ť5⁴²	tai 21	ťo ≇	1042	las
三水(西南)	to**	t'o*	tàs	tʻ∋™	tísai	t'3 ^{*1}	tai"	tʻoʻ'	no*	na®
高明(明城)	to***	t'3455	ťa***	tʻo¤	t'521	t5™	tain		n>21	na **
中山(石岐)	to**	t'5*	táss	ť3#	t'551	t'251	tai33	t'351	ກວະ	กวรเ
珠 海(前山)	toが	ť355	ťa®	tʻs∗i	t5*'	tʻS™	tai 33	ťon	na*	nais
斗門(上橋木上話)	to*	ť5*	t'a*5	t54	t'5*1	t54	tai"	t′5 #	n o44	na ¹³
4門(4門編)	t"533	h"o"	ha®	h ^u o**	h"322	h"2"	taias	husm	"d"o"*	ndu 22
江門(自参)	t323	ho**	ha=3	ho"	ho**	hom	tai»	h>22	10**	132
新會(會線)	t#523	h"3"	ha=3	h#>*	h">**	h">22	tarai	h4522	"d"o	nd"sz
台山(台城)	4 ² 32	h"335	has	h"5"	h"528	hu2s*	ði"		"d">**	"daa"
開手(赤坎)	u*s	hu ³³	ha*3	huzz	huzz	huzz	8131		ndo**	"da"
恩平(牛正)	tua 33	hua**	ha33	hua**	hua**	hua**	tain		"dua"	"duð"
116 山(雅瑞)	Ju 33	h su 33	tíA33	h su "	houn	ho»	D ³²		nou!2	
東 莞 (莞 城)	t5218	t'543	ťa 🐃	t'3"	t'5 21	t'>*'	tarm	t'5#	N>**	na.
實安(沙井)	10 ^{件/} 10封	\$3.57 \$3.55	138	t'ɔ*	t5™	t'ɔ²ı	tai»	tʻa™	noel	nav
惠州(市區)	to**	t53	tá33	t'3N	ť5"	ton	tái"	t'5"	No"	na*
東 発 (清 義)	to*	ťo™	tan	ťo"	ť5"	t's"	ťai#		la"	10**
深圳(沙景角)	to»	ť>*	ťa*	ťo"	ťo"	t5"	ťai#		1939	133
從化(呂田)	to#	ť34	ťa#	t'5*	t5₩	t'552	ťai*'		144	1044
中山(南蘭合木)	to»	ť3*	t'a»	t'Sai	t'3*	tjan	taiss	tàiss	ກວະເ	nai#
中山(離都)	52 M	101	t'a#	ton	ťoň	t5»	taras Hat	t'331	nok"	hatt

Dialect Survey (Survey of Dialects in the Pearl River Delta)

ε

ŋ [-1]惹□颗□ŋjep-1~:蜻蜓 [1]嘢东西

p [N]□~老:大舅母 [J]婆袍大棉~:棉袄 [1]抱□泡沫 [J]范解暴~露□--:-串

n

- 6 [1]煲 [4]□像蝙蝠的一种鸟 [1]保宝
 堡碉~ [4]报
- m [1]口脸肿 [1]毛 [J]冒帽
- f [1] 搔骚臊 [4] 曹漕槽 [1] 嫂 [1] 造 [1]扫-地↓~把 [J]□早~晚~: ^{早稲晩稲}
- t [1]糟遭 [4]掏滔涛桃陶淘逃萄葡~绹 捆扎 [1]早枣蚤 [1]导祷祈~ [4] 灶~头:灶 [4]道稻盗
- t' [Ŋ]操 [IJ]讨草 [IJ]套澡糙燥
- d [Ⅵ]刀叨 [⊣]岛捣倒打~|~茶 [Ⅵ]到
- n [1] 恼脑 [J] □瞪眼睛
- 1 [-]劳牢痨 [-]佬 [-]老 [J]涝
- ∫ [٦]□形容密而多 [∃]□做~:做什么 [J]傻
- k [N]高糕篙膏羔蒿苘~ [N]稿 [N]个 告箇~6okn:这里
- ŋ [1]我 [v]傲 [J]熬
- h [1]好~人 [4]耗好喜~
- Ø [4]豪毫壕 [4]澳~门 [J]浩号蚝~油

i

- w [ч]涴~henч:髀
- p [√]皮肥脾琵~嗇枇~杷 [1]婢被棉~ | ~迫 [J]备鼻避

Homonymic Syllabary (*The Phonology* of Cangwu Local Vernacular in Guangxi)

Localities and their respective sources



Segmental Data



Modifications to the original transcriptions

- •Transcribers' differences
 - -More than 1 transcriber
 - Different conventions/ habits of transcription for the same sound
 - Can influence the dialectometric analysis
- •Normalisation/ cleaning required

Modifications

- 1. Comparison with existing recordings
 - Guangzhou 'water' sæy \rightarrow søy
- 2. Maintaining contrasts
- 3. Removal of redundant characters
 - Gaoyang dialects 'person' <code>pien</code> \rightarrow <code>pen</code>
- 4. Simplification of overly detailed transcriptions
 - HK (Kam Tin) $\underline{a} \rightarrow a$
- 5. Consistency of onsets
- 6. Conversion from Chinese IPA to Standard IPA
 - Guangzhou 'skin' p'ei \rightarrow p^hei
- 7. Phonetic alignment

Levenshtein Distance between Raw vs. Cleaned Transcriptions

Boxplot of Distances between Raw and Cleaned Transcriptions



Tonal Data



Tonal data

- •The existing digital tonal datasets generally consist of about 20-30 dialects
- •Our dataset
 - -Same number of words as the segmental data
 - -Same items (130)
 - -Same dialects (104)
 - -Allows us to compare the segmental and tonal variation of the same area

Tone notations

- •Chao's (1930) tone letters
- •Contour levels: 1, 2, 3, 4, 5
 - -1 is the lower pitch level, 5 is the highest
- •Combination of pitch levels to represent a tone contour
 - -Level tones: 11, 22, 33, 44, 55
 - -Rising tones: e.g. 12, 24, 25, 45
 - -Falling tones: e.g. 51, 42, 31, 43
 - -Concave tones: e.g. 413, 512
 - -Convex tones: e.g. 232, 253

Tone representation in dialectometry

- •Chao's (1930) transcription system cannot directly be used for dialectometry (Sung et al. Forthcoming)
- Requires further conversion to yield meaningful tone distances (when using tools like *Gabmap* or *LED-A.org*)
 - -Tone-to-string (Tang 2009)
 - -Onset-Contour-Offset (Yang and Castro 2008)
 - -Modified Onset-Contour-Offset

Modified Onset-Contour-Offset (mOCO)

- Modified from Yang and Castro's (2008) representation
- Converts Chao's (1930) transcription system into 3 parts:
 - Onset (starting pitch of the tone)
 - Contour (shape of the tone)
 - Offset (ending pitch of the tone)
- Here is an example:



Tone distances calculated with mOCO

- Tone distances: applying Levenshtein Distance (Levenshtein 1966, Heeringa 2004) on the mOCO representation
- This representation corresponds to the perceptual dimensions (Gandour and Harshman 1978)
- It can differentiate 72 out of 73 of the tones in the data



Preliminary analysis of tonal variation



Tonal variation

- •In Chinese dialectology, very often studies on tones are descriptive
 - Correspondences between Middle Chinese tone categories and present-day pronunciation
- Existing dialectometric studies of tonal languages
 - Sometimes tones were neglected
 - Typically with a small dataset
 - Often combined with segments
 - The tone distance metrics cannot differentiate a lot of the tones in the data (Sung et al. Forthcoming)

Tone distances between dialects

- •Between each pair of dialects in the data
 - -Calculate the Levenshtein distance between the tones of each word
 - -Sum the distances and divide it by the number of words compared (normalisation)

Dialect distances of Yue (tones only)

- MDS plot of 104 Yue dialects
- General pattern: continuum-like
- There is a clear cluster which seem to be isolated from the broader continuum
 - Siyi dialects (red circle)



Dialect distances of Siyi dialects (tones only)

- Siyi dialects
- We can see 3 patterns here:
 - Group 1: Doumen, Taishan, Kaiping
 - Group 2: Enping
 - Group 3: Jiangman, Xinhui



Tone Categories	Doumen	Taishan Kaiping		Enping	Jiangmen	Xinhui
Yin Ping	33	33	33	33	23	23
Yang Ping	22	22	22	22	22	22
Yin Shang	55	55	55	55	45	45
Yang Shang	21	21	21	21	21	21
Qu	31	31	31	31	31	31
Yin Ru1	55#	55#	55#	55#	55#	55#
Yin Ru2	33#	33#	33#	33#	33#	33#
Yang Ru	21#	21#	21#	21#	21#	21#

Dialect distances of Siyi dialects (tones only)

- Group 1 dialects
- Same tonemic inventory
- Why do they not overlap on the MDS plot?
- How do we explain this pattern?



Tone Categories	Doumen	Taishan	Kaiping	Enping	Jiangmen	Xinhui
Yin Ping	33	33	33	33	23	23
Yang Ping	22	22	22	22	22	22
Yin Shang	55	55	55	55	45	45
Yang Shang	21	21	21	- 1	21	21
Qu	31	31	31	31	31	31
Yin Ru1	55#	55#	55#	55#	55#	55#
Yin Ru2	33#	33#	33#	33#	33#	33#
Yang Ru 21#		21#	21#	21#	21#	21#

Tone correspondences

• Tone distances has to be accompanied with a tone correspondence table to get further insights

- We see *Lexical Distribution* differences (Wells 1982), or 'exceptions' in grey
- These are detected and reflected in the aggregate tone distances

No. of Items
1
4
2
12
1
21
1
10
2
33
1
1
3
1
26
11

Correspondence Table of Tones between Taishan (left) and Kaiping (right) Dialects (irregular correspondences in gray)

Conclusion

New Dataset

- 104 Yue-Pinghua dialects
- 130 words
- Segments and tones

New tone distance metric

• mOCO is able to differentiate 72/73 tones in the dataset

New discovery on tonal variation

- There seems to be a dialect continuum on the tonal level in the Yue-speaking area
- Tones can vary on the tonetic, tonemic as well as lexical distribution

Data availability

OSF repository: https://osf.io/j5gxz/

•Contains the data and Tone conversion scripts (Python)

Full datasets are under embargo at the moment

Contact info: Matthew Sung: h.w.m.sung@hum.leidenuniv.nl

Thank you for your attention!



Contact info: Matthew Sung: <u>h.w.m.sung@hum.leidenuniv.nl</u> Personal website: <u>https://sites.google.com/view/matthew-sung-dialectologist/home</u>